SIROLIMUS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO SURGERY IN TSC-ASSOCIATED BILATERAL SEGA: AN AFFORDABLE OPTION IN RESOURCE-LIMITED SETTING





BACKGROUND

Subependymal giant cell astrocytomas (SEGA) are the most common brain tumor in tuberous sclerosis significant complex (TSC) lead and may to morbidity. Surgery is the mainstay neurological treatment for SEGAs but recent studies demonstrate efficacy of mTOr ilizing and shrinking SEGAs. Everolin treatment evidence nong patients from but the cost li resource-limited (

This is a **10-ye** neurocognitive d infantile spasms of intermittent

emale with normal story of seizures or ith a **1-year** history sted with projectile

vomiting. She fulfilled the clinical criteria for TSC (Figure 1, 2). Surgical resection was advised, but was lost to follow up. She came back after 2 years with blurred vision, progressing headache, vomiting and increased sleeping time. On neurologic examination, she had decreased visual acuity, bilateral lateral rectus palsy and grade 2 papilledema. Cranial CT showed increase in size of the SEGAs with moderate obstructive hydrocephalus (Figure 3). Below is the treatment algorithm that was done for our patient.



Imaging at 6 months into therapy showed 82.5% and 64.1% reduction on right and left respectively (Figure 3). There was signi-ficant no decrease in the renal and liver angiomyolipomas. She had no neurocognitive deterioration, but vision was not restored.



pancreatic head.



Figure 3. Cranial CT scan prior to starting sirolimus (left) and at 6 months of treatment with sirolimus (right)

Patricia C. Orduña, MD, Marissa B. Lukban, MD

Division of Pediatric Neurology, Departments of Pediatrics and Neurosciences, University of the Philippines – Philippine General Hospital



Figure 1. Cutaneous manifestations of TSC: Multiple hypomelanotic macules on the trunk and extremities; multiple facial angiofibromas. Her father and paternal grandfather also had angiofibromas. Genotyping was not done due to financial constraints.

Figure 2. Contrast MRI showing bilateral subependymal nodules. Abdominal ultrasound (not seen) showed multiple angiomyolipomas on bilateral kidneys, liver and

Table 1. Comparison of Everolimus and Sirolimus in terms of efficacy and safety **EVEROLIMUS**

US FDA approved for SEGA treatment		US FDA approved for treatment of lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM)					
Evaluated through Long-term phase 2 and 3 studies ⁴⁻⁷		Evaluated through case reports and series in pediatric patien					
		Franz et al. (2006) ¹⁰	Initial: 2-3mg/tab titrated to 5-7mg/tab	52-62% reduction at 3			
Prospective open-label	≥30% reduction at 6 months in 75% of participants (P<0.001); Dose: 3mg/m ²	Lam et al. (2010) ⁸	Loading of 15mg/day, maintained 5-9mg/day	50-60% decrease			
Phase 2 study ⁴		Cardamone et al. (2013) ¹¹	3mg/day	65% reduction			
EXIST-1 Trial:	≥50% reduction visible at 12						
Double-blind,	weeks in 35% of Everolimus	Weidman et al. (2014) ⁹	4 mg/m2/day	53-66% reduction at E			
Phase 3 RCT ⁵⁻⁷	group (P<0.0001) Dose: 4.5mg/m ²	Birca et al. (2010) ¹² Bilateral SEGA	Loading of 2 mg, maintained at 1mg/ day	82.6% (L) <i>,</i> 46.7% (R) r 3 months			

SAFETY: Both well tolerated, with few, self-limited adverse effects reported; **Common SE:** Stomatitis, mouth ulceration, acneiform rash, arthralgias, diarrhea; **Rare SE:** Thrombocytopenia, convulsions, hypercholesterolemia, lipoproteinemia

Surgery is the standard treatment for TSC-associated SEGAs.¹ Our patient was **not a good candidate for** surgery, thus medical treatment using an mTOR inhibitor was offered. Table 1 shows the comparison of sirolimus and everolimus. We opted to start our patient at the lowest effective dose of Sirolimus dose (1mg/m²/day) and titrate up as necessary to maintain trough levels of 10-15ng/ml. Trough levels were giant cell astrocytomas and few subependymal achieved and did not warrant titration. Sirolimus was well tolerated by our patient, with minimal elevations on cholesterol and triglyceride levels managed with simvastatin. Tumor re-growth was evident among patients who discontinued the medication,^{8,9,10} suggesting the need for a life-long treatment course. **Table 2** compares the cost of everolimus and sirolimus over 1- and 6-month treatment period for our patient. Sirolimus is significantly more affordable, with 98% decrease in cost from the everolimus innovator brand, being beneficial in tumor reduction and in reducing their family's financial burden.

Table 2. Comparison of Treatment Cost for Everolimus and Sirolimus									
mTOR inhibitor	Dose ¹³	Cost per tab	Number of	Cost per day	Cost per 1 month	Cost per 6 m			
	(patient's BSA = 0.93)	(in PhP)	tabs/day	(in PhP)	treatment (in PhP)	treatment (i			
Everolimus (A)	2.5mg/tab	27,000 per 2.5mg tab	1	27,000	810,000.00	4,860,000.00			
Everolimus (B)	(0.5 to 1.2mg/m ²)	205.75 per 0.50mg tab	5	1028.75	30,862.50	185,175.00			
Sirolimus	1mg/m ²	377.50 /1mg tab	1	377.50	11,325.00	67,950.00			

CONCLUSION

This case reinforces previous observations that mTOR inhibitors can serve as an alternative to surgery. Sirolimus and **Everolimus** are *comparable* in efficacy and safety. **Sirolimus** is significantly *more affordable* than Everolimus – implications in recommending its use in resource-limited settings. Prospective studies and clinical trials are needed to further establish its efficacy, safety and cost-effectiveness in our setting.







DISCUSSION

SIROLIMUS

Pharmacologically similar³

Immunosuppressive effects and **growth retardation** (seen in post-kidney transplant pediatric patients taking sirolimus)^{8,9}

REFERENCES

1) Northrup H, Krueger DA. Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Diagnostic Criteria Update: Recommendations of the 2012 Internat Fuberous Sclerosis Complex Consensus Conference, *Pediatric Neurology*, 2013; 49; 243-254, (2) Roth J, Roach ES, Bartels ubependymal giant cell astrocytoma: Diagnosis, screening, and treatment International Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Consensus Conference 2012. *Pediatric Neurology.* 2013; 49: 439-444. (3) MacKeigan Krueger DA. Differentiating the mTOR inhibitors everolimus and sirolimus in the treatment of tuberous sclerosis complex. *Ne* 50-59. (4) Krueger DA, Care MM, Holland K, et al. Everolimus for subependymal giant-cell astrocytoma *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2010; 363(19): 1801–1811. (5) Franz DN, Belousova E, Sparagana S, et verolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1 placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *The Lancet*. 2013; 381(9861): aragana S, et al. Everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytoma in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex: 2-year op randomised EXIST-1 study *The Lancet Oncology*. 2014; 15(13): 1513–1520. (7) Franz DN, Belousova paragana S, Bebin EM, Frost MD, Kuperman R, et al. Long-term use of everolimus in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex: study. Plos One. 2016; 11:e0158476. (8) Lam C, Bouffet E, Tabori U, Mabbott D, Taylor M, Bartels 476–479, (9) Weidman DR, Pole JD, Bouffet E, Taylor MD, Bartels U, Dose-level response rates of mTOR inhibition in tube elated subependymal giant cell astrocytoma. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer,* 2015; 62(10); 1754– C, Chuck G, Care M, Sethuraman G, Dinopoulos A, Thomas G, Crone KR, Rapamvcin causes regressior ous sclerosis complex. Ann Neurol 2006;59: 490–498. (11) Cardamone M, Flanagan D, Mowat D, Kennedy S Chopra M, Lawson JA, Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors for intractable epilepsy and subependymal giant cell astrocyton tuberous sclerosis complex. J Pediatr. 2014: 164: 1195–1200. (12) Birca A. Mercier C. Major P. Rapamycin as an alternative irgical treatment of subependymal giant cell astrocytomas in a patient with tuberous sclerosis complex. Journal of Neurosurger, Pediatrics. 2010; 6(4): 381–384. (13) Campen CJ, Porter BE. Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma (SEGA) treatment update. Cu Treat Options Neurol. 2011; 13(4): 380-385

