# A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF VISUAL EVOKED POTENTIALS BETWEEN CHILDHOOD EPILEPSY WITH OCCIPITAL PAROXYSMS AND SYMPTOMATIC **OCCIPITAL EPILEPSY**

Dr Azmi Habeeb; Dr Mary Iype; Dr Mini S; Dr Shahnaz Ahmed; Dr Anchu Anna Cherian **Department of Paediatric Neurology, Government Medical College, Trivandrum, India** 

## Visual Evoked Potential

\*Visual Evoked Potentials are electrical potentials used to assess the functional integrity of the visual pathway

\*VEPs may also have a role in helping us understand the underlying functional dysfunction in epilepsies.

\*Anti-Seizure medications also reported to cause dysfunction in VEP by prolonging the latency, with the duration and the dose of these medications playing a role

\*Children with developmental delay and poor cognition may also have prolonged latencies in VEP compared to their peers with normal developmental outcome

**Occipital Epilepsy** 

\*Can either be structural in etiology or belong to the self – limiting epilepsy syndromes which include SeLEAS, POLE and COVE

\*Structural epilepsies in Indian setting could be higher due to the increased prevalence of hypoglycaemic brain injury and hypoxic injury

\* Symptomatic Epilepsy portends poorer prognosis compared to self-limiting epilepsy groups.

### OBJECTIVES

\*To do a comparative study of visual evoked potentials between childhood epilepsy with occipital paroxysms and symptomatic occipital epilepsy

\*To ascertain the factors that determine abnormal latency and amplitude in children with symptomatic occipital epilepsy and childhood epilepsy with occipital paroxysms

\* To study the electroclinical features of occipital lobe epilepsy

### MATERIALS A

Study design March 2023 Study popula history of at showing occi

## **Study tools:**

machine and

## Statistical an statistical soft



RESULTS							Symptoms	CEOP	SYMPTOMATIC n	Whole cohort
ND METHODS	Variable	Group	N	Mean	Std.	p value		n (%)	(%)	n (%)
n: Cross sectional study from August 2021-					Deviation		Vomiting	49(70%)	27(54%)	76(63.3%
	Mean amplitude	SOE	50 9.02		6.23		Pallor/cyanosis	25(35.7%)	22(44%)	47(39.29
<b>ation:</b> Children above the age of 4 years with least one seizure with their most recent EEG ipital or occipital predominant spikes.		CEOD	70	1 4 77	7.07	<0.001	Incontinence	21(30%)	19(38%)	40(33.3%
		CEOP	70	14.//	7.07		Hypersalivation	22(31.4%)	24(48%)	46(38.3%
	Mean Latency	SOE	50	124.81	30.83	0.003	Headache before seizure onset	23(32.9%)	15(30%)	38(31.7%
Nicolet EEG machine		CEOP	70	108.53	26.88		Headache after seizure onset	19(27.1%)	13(26%)	23(26.7%
nalysis:Data analysed using SPSS -27	Group	Variable	Numbe	er a	mplitude ±Std Deviation	p value	Deviation of eyes to one side	36(51.4%)	22(44%)	58(48.3%
tware	SOE	Developmental	32		6.76 ±5.01		Eyelid flutter	12(17.1%)	11(22%)	23(19.2%
HIGH AMPLITUDE (22.1 microv		delay No	10			0.001	Nystagmoid eye movements	11(15.7%)	11(22%)	22(18.3%
		developmental delav	18		13.04±6.26		Ictal cough	10(14.3%)	11(22%)	21(17.5%
	CEOP	Developmental	10		11 22 10 20		Sweating	22(31.4%)	19(38%)	41(34.2%
		delay	12		14.25 <u>1</u> 9.28		Lacrimation	19(27.1%)	11(22%)	30(25%
		No developmental delay	58		14.89 ±6.62	0.770	Visual Hallucinations	16(22.9%)	7(14%)	23(19.2%
		Carbamazepine	6	15 12+6 70			CONCULSIONS			
olt)		Monotherapy	0		13.13±0.70		*VEP may be used as an ancillary tool to classify			
	SOE	Not on			0 10±E 7E	0.009	epilepsy			
		monotherapy	44		8.19 <u>1</u> 3.73		*High VEP ampl	itude in C	CEOP group po	ssibly 1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CEOP	SYMPTOMATIC n		Whole cohort	n	cortical hyperexcitab	oility and	should serve a	s a sub
L - VEP - 1Ch 🗘 50ms 💠	EEG Findings	n (%)	(%)		n (%)	value	further studies in the	se children		
	Activation in sle	eep 55(78.6%)	46(929	%)	101(84.2%)	0.047	*As children on	polythera	ov have low a	nplitud
· · · · · · · · ·	Focal Slowing occipital regio	in 12(17.1%)	27(549	%)	39(32.5%)	<0.001	would be prudent to	maintain	these children	on moi
· · · · · · · · ·	Photoparoxysm	nal 5(7.1%)	1(2.1%	%)	6(5%)	0.399		of drugs.		
. N145	response Clustering of sni	ikes 5(7.1%)	6(12%	6)	11(9.2%)	0 5 2 3	1 Gokcay A. Calabia	ov N. Go	zeau E. Ekmeka	
$\cdot \wedge \cdot \wedge \cdot \cdot$	Generalised spi	kes	0(1270)	0)	11(9.270)	0.525	Visual avoked noten	tials in ch	ildron with occ	inital ar
50ms 2μV 	with occipita discharges	l 4(5.7%)	3(6%	)	7(5.8%)	1	. Brain Dev. 2003 Jun;25(4):268–71			
CY (124 milliseconds)	Additional cent temporal spike	ro- es 10(14.3%)	4(8%	.)	14(11.7%)	0.290	2. Demirbilek V, Dervent A, Korkmaz B. A study c			
	Additional fron spikes	tal 4(5.7%)	0(0)		4(3.3%)	0.140	evoked responses in childhood epileps paroxysms. Seizure. 2000 Jun;9(4):27		l epilepsy with 9(4):270–3	occipita



n visual al





